MEMORANDUM

Date: April 7, 2015

To: The Commissioner

From: Inspector General

Subject: Payments to Individuals Confined in Special Commitment Centers (A-06-14-14087)

The attached final report presents the results of our review. Our objective was to determine whether individuals improperly received payments while involuntarily confined in Special Commitment Centers.

If you wish to discuss the final report, please call me or have your staff contact Steven L. Schaeffer, Assistant Inspector General for Audit, at (410) 965-9700.

Patrick P. O’Carroll, Jr.

Attachment
Objective

To determine whether individuals improperly received payments while involuntarily confined in Special Commitment Centers (SCC).

Background

Federal law requires that the Social Security Administration (SSA) suspend payments to certain individuals who are in institutions as sexually dangerous persons. These provisions became effective in December 1999.

A 2012 OIG investigation identified 14 sexual predators who inappropriately received more than $500,000 in SSA payments while confined in a Washington State SCC. The investigation identified 23 additional SCCs nationwide.

In April and May 2014, we reached out to officials at the 23 SCCs to request they provide information on the sexual predators involuntarily confined in their facilities. Officials at eight facilities provided us the names, Social Security numbers, and confinement dates of the individuals in their custody.

Conclusions

We identified 18 sexual predators who were involuntarily confined in 4 SCCs and who improperly received approximately $524,000 in Social Security benefits and/or Supplemental Security Income payments.

Our review indicated that, after States transferred these sexual predators from prison to an SCC, the individuals contacted SSA to apply for benefits or request resumption of payments that SSA had suspended while they were incarcerated. While benefit suspension provisions continued to apply to these individuals, a mechanism had not been established to ensure SCCs reported inmate information to SSA. Consequently, SSA did not have the information it needed to prevent initiation of payments to these individuals.

We provided the names and Social Security numbers of the 18 individuals to SSA. SSA, in turn, suspended all 18 individuals’ benefit payments and assessed $523,987 in overpayments.

SSA’s Office of Data Exchange staff stated that SSA will contact SCCs that do not have a memorandum of understanding with SSA and encourage them to provide information about confined individuals in exchange for potential incentive payments. Staff further stated the Office of Data Exchange will work with regional prison coordinators to contact any SCCs not reporting on individuals confined in their facilities.

Since SSA had already suspended payments to the 18 individuals and began contacting the SCCs to encourage them to provide information about individuals confined to their facilities for incentive payments, we are not making any recommendations at this time. However, we may revisit this issue in the future. The Agency reviewed the draft report and provided technical comments, which we incorporated, as appropriate.
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# Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OIG</td>
<td>Office of the Inspector General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pub. L. No.</td>
<td>Public Law Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>Special Commitment Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>Social Security Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSI</td>
<td>Supplemental Security Income</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OBJECTIVE

Our objective was to determine whether individuals improperly received payments while involuntarily confined in Special Commitment Centers (SCC).

BACKGROUND

Federal law requires that the Social Security Administration (SSA) suspend benefit payments to certain individuals who are in institutions as sexually dangerous persons.¹ For benefit suspension to apply, institutions must immediately confine the beneficiary upon completion of his/her prison sentence. In addition, the crime for which the beneficiary was convicted and imprisoned must have an element of sexual activity. These provisions became effective in December 1999.

A 2012 OIG investigation identified 14 sexual predators who inappropriately received more than $500,000 in SSA payments while residing at a Washington State SCC. The investigation identified 23 additional SCCs nationwide.

In April and May 2014, we reached out to officials at the 23 SCCs to request they provide information on the sexual predators involuntarily confined in their facilities. Officials at eight facilities provided us the names, Social Security numbers, and confinement dates of the sexual predators in their custody. For additional information on our scope and methodology, see Appendix A.

RESULTS OF REVIEW

We identified 18 sexual predators involuntarily confined in 4 SCCs who improperly received approximately $524,000 in Social Security benefits and/or Supplemental Security Income (SSI) payments. This constituted less than 1 percent of the sexual predators residing in the facilities.

¹ Ticket to Work and Work Incentives Improvement Act of 1999 Pub. L. No. 106-170 § 402 (1999). Federal law, SSA policy, and various States also refer to these individuals as sex offenders, sexually dangerous individuals, sexually violent persons, sexually violent predators, or sexual predators. To avoid confusion, throughout the report, we refer to these individuals as sexual predators.
Table 1: Involuntarily Committed Sexual Predators Improperly Receiving SSA Payments  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCC Location</th>
<th>Individuals in Custody</th>
<th>Individuals Receiving SSA Payments</th>
<th>Improper Social Security Benefit Payments</th>
<th>Improper SSI Payments</th>
<th>Total Improper Payments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>1,148</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$218,565</td>
<td>$48,433</td>
<td>$266,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9,225</td>
<td>57,589</td>
<td>66,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>128,252</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>128,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>61,923</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,058</strong></td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
<td><strong>$417,965</strong></td>
<td><strong>$106,022</strong></td>
<td><strong>$523,987</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our review indicated that, after States transferred these sexual predators from prison to an SCC, the individuals contacted SSA to apply for benefits or request resumption of payments that SSA had suspended while they were incarcerated. Below are examples of the cases we identified.

- In December 2013, a sexual predator confined in a California SCC called SSA’s 800-number to apply for SSI disability payments. He also provided SSA with SCC medical documentation indicating he had lung cancer. SSA approved the claim, unaware the claimant was confined in an SCC. Because the man listed the State hospital as his residential address, SSA reduced the SSI payments to $50 per month ($30 in Federal SSI and $20 in California supplement). In March 2014, the individual called SSA’s 800-number and falsely claimed he had moved from the hospital into an apartment. SSA increased his SSI payments to $877 per month ($721 Federal SSI and $156 in California supplement). We referred this case to SSA Operations. SSA determined the man was not eligible for any of the SSI payments he received, suspended the payments, and assessed a $3,610 overpayment.

- In July 2009, a sexual predator confined in a Kansas SCC submitted an Internet application for retirement benefits. The individual provided a false residential address. SSA approved the claim, unaware the individual was confined in an SCC. We referred this case to SSA Operations. SSA determined the man was not eligible for any of the retirement benefits he received, suspended his payments, and assessed a $37,568 overpayment.

---

2 We received rosters identifying 501 sexual predators involuntarily confined in SCCs in Arizona, Iowa, Missouri, and South Carolina. We matched the rosters against SSA’s payment records and identified no inmates receiving SSA payments.

3 The Office of Investigations determined that bank accounts used by these individuals to receive SSA benefit payments contained significant balances. The Office of Investigations worked with both SSA and Treasury to pursue reclamation of funds from the accounts. On November 21, 2014, Treasury reclaimed $9,224.64 from one account, and on December 3, 2014, reclaimed $8,621.18 from the other account. SSA will reduce the overpayment balances, accordingly.
In January 2011, a sexual predator confined to a North Dakota SCC filed for retirement benefits. The individual provided a false residential address. SSA approved the claim, unaware the man was confined to an SCC. We referred this case to SSA Operations. SSA determined the man was not eligible for any of the benefits he received, suspended his payments, and assessed a $28,299 overpayment.\(^4\)

While benefit suspension provisions continued to apply to these individuals, SSA had not established a mechanism to ensure SCCs reported inmate information to SSA. Consequently, SSA did not have the information it needed to prevent initiation of payments to these individuals.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We identified 18 sexual predators involuntarily confined in 4 SCCs who improperly received approximately $524,000 in Social Security benefits and/or Supplemental Security Income payments. This occurred because the SCCs did not report inmate information to SSA. As a result, SSA was not aware, in this small number of cases, that individuals applying for benefits or requesting resumption of previously suspended benefits were confined in SCCs.

We provided the names and SSNs of the 18 individuals to SSA. SSA, in turn, suspended all 18 individuals’ benefit payments and assessed $523,987 in overpayments.

SSA’s Office of Data Exchange staff stated that SSA will contact SCCs that do not have a memorandum of understanding with SSA to encourage them to provide information about confined individuals in exchange for potential incentive payments. Staff further stated the Office of Data Exchange will work with regional prison coordinators to contact any SCCs not currently reporting on individuals confined in their facilities.

Since SSA had already suspended payments to the 18 individuals and began contacting the SCCs to encourage them to provide information about individuals confined to their facilities for incentive payments, we are not making any recommendations at this time. However, we may revisit this issue in the future. The Agency reviewed the draft report and provided technical comments, which we incorporated, as appropriate.

---

\(^4\) SSA recovered $8,621 from the individual’s bank account via the Treasury reclamation process (see Footnote 3).
Appendix A – Scope and Methodology

To accomplish our objective, we:

- Reviewed applicable Social Security Administration (SSA) regulations.
- Reached out to officials at 23 Special Commitment Centers (SCC) to request inmate data. We note the facilities were under no obligation to respond to our request for information.

Table A–1: Summary of Efforts to Obtain SCC Inmate Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Location</th>
<th>Spoke with Facility Officials</th>
<th>Sent Written Request for Inmate Roster</th>
<th>Facility Provided Inmate PII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Arizona</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 California</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Florida</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Iowa</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Kansas</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Missouri</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 North Dakota</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 South Carolina</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 California</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO^1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Illinois</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Illinois</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Massachusetts</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Minnesota</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Minnesota</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Nebraska</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 New York</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Virginia</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 California</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 New Hampshire</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 New Jersey</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 North Carolina</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Washington D.C.</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Wisconsin</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^1 Facility officials stated they already report inmate information to SSA per a November 2013 Incentive Payment Memorandum of Understanding.

^2 Facility officials stated they already report inmate information to SSA via the Prisoner Update Processing System.

^3 Facility officials stated they already report inmate information to SSA each month.

^4 Id.
• Sent letters to 17 facilities requesting the names, Social Security numbers, and commitment dates of all sexual predators involuntarily confined at the facilities.

• Received requested information from eight SCCs.

• Compared the names and Social Security numbers of sexual predators in custody at the eight SCCs against SSA’s payment records.

• Identified 18 sexual predators in 4 SCCs receiving SSA payments.
  ✓ Computed the amount of potential improper payments issued to each individual.
  ✓ Referred the cases to SSA’s Office of Operations for corrective action.

We conducted our review from April to November 2014 in Dallas, Texas. We conducted our review in accordance with the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency’s *Quality Standards for Inspection and Evaluation*. 
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MISSION

By conducting independent and objective audits, evaluations, and investigations, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) inspires public confidence in the integrity and security of the Social Security Administration’s (SSA) programs and operations and protects them against fraud, waste, and abuse. We provide timely, useful, and reliable information and advice to Administration officials, Congress, and the public.

CONNECT WITH US

The OIG Website (http://oig.ssa.gov/) gives you access to a wealth of information about OIG. On our Website, you can report fraud as well as find the following.

- OIG news
- audit reports
- investigative summaries
- Semiannual Reports to Congress
- fraud advisories
- press releases
- congressional testimony
- an interactive blog, “Beyond The Numbers” where we welcome your comments

In addition, we provide these avenues of communication through our social media channels.

- Watch us on YouTube
- Like us on Facebook
- Follow us on Twitter
- Subscribe to our RSS feeds or email updates

OBTAIN COPIES OF AUDIT REPORTS

To obtain copies of our reports, visit our Website at http://oig.ssa.gov/audits-and-investigations/audit-reports/all. For notification of newly released reports, sign up for e-updates at http://oig.ssa.gov/e-updates.

REPORT FRAUD, WASTE, AND ABUSE

To report fraud, waste, and abuse, contact the Office of the Inspector General via

Website: http://oig.ssa.gov/report-fraud-waste-or-abuse
Mail: Social Security Fraud Hotline
      P.O. Box 17785
      Baltimore, Maryland 21235
FAX: 410-597-0118
Telephone: 1-800-269-0271 from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time
TTY: 1-866-501-2101 for the deaf or hard of hearing